IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

FERISSA TALLEY,)
Plaintiff,)
VS.) Case No. 19-00493-CV-W-ODS
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,)
Defendant)

ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF'S SECOND MOTION TO RECONSIDER AND REVERSE ORDER GRANTING DOL MOTION TO STAY

On October 24, 2019, the Court denied without prejudice Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider because the motion violated Local Rules 7.0(d)(1)(A) and 7.0(d)(3). Doc. #11. Today, Plaintiff filed another motion to reconsider. Doc. #12. The Court notes Plaintiff included a table of authorities, but the motion still exceeds the page limitation.

The Court presumes Plaintiff excluded her brief's "factual background" section when calculating the length of her brief. However, Local Rule 7.0 declares "statements of fact – including facts presented under Rules 9.1(d)(2) or 56.1 – do no count toward these page limitations." L.R. 7.0(d)(2). Local Rule 9.1 pertains to Social Security matters, and Local Rule 56.1 relates to summary judgment motions. Plaintiff's motion does not fall under either rule.

Also, when a motion not requiring a statement of facts (e.g., a motion to dismiss, a motion to reconsider) is filed, the practice in this Court is to limit the suggestions in support to fifteen pages, excluding any tables, signature block, certificate of service and exhibits. Any "background" or "facts" section for said motions is included in the page limitation. Moreover, Plaintiff's "factual background," which is roughly eight pages, is not limited to facts. Instead, it is rife with arguments and contentions.

For these reasons, the Court, once again, denies Plaintiff's motion to reconsider without prejudice. If Plaintiff chooses to file yet another motion to reconsider and that motion fails to comply with the Local Rules, the Court's denial of the motion will be denied with prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED.